For reprint orders, please contact: reprints@futuremedicine.com

Marshfield Clinic Personalized Medicine Research Project (PMRP): design, methods and recruitment for a large population-based biobank

Catherine A McCarty[†], Russell A Wilke, Philip F Giampietro, Steve D Wesbrook & Michael D Caldwell

[†]Author for correspondence Marshfield Clinic Research Foundation, Center for Human Genetics, 1000 N. Oak Ave. (ML1), Marshfield, WI 54449, USA Tel.: +1 715 389 3120; Fax: +1 715 389 4950; E-mail: mccarty.catherine @mcrf.mfldclin.edu

Keywords: database, ethics, genetic epidemiology, longitudinal study, pharmacogenetics, pharmacogenomics, planning methodology, population genetics



Objectives: The objective of this paper is to summarize the planning for Phase I of the Marshfield Clinic Personalized Medicine Research Project (PMRP) and to describe the recruitment efforts in the first 2 years. Methods: The purpose of Phase I of the PMRP was to develop a large population-based biobank with DNA, plasma and serum samples to facilitate genomics research. Planning and consultation was facilitated with three external boards: the Ethics and Security Advisory Board; the Scientific Advisory Board; and the Community Advisory Group. Commencing in September 2002, residents aged 18 and above who resided in 1 of 19 zip codes surrounding Marshfield, WI, USA, were invited to participate. After providing written informed consent, participants completed brief questionnaires that included questions about demographics, some environmental exposures, family history of disease, and adverse drug reactions, as well as family members living in the study area. Participants provided 50 ml of blood from which DNA was extracted and plasma and serum samples were stored. The informed consent document allowed access to electronic medical records and included language about non-disclosure of personal research results. A tick-off box was also included so that participants could either allow or decline subsequent recontact for future research studies. Results: A total of 17,463 subjects were enrolled during the first 23 months of recruitment (44.3% of the residents who the Research Project Assistants were able to contact). The participants ranged in age from 18 to 98.5 years (mean = 48.9, median = 48); 57.2% (n = 9986) were female. Self-reported race in the study cohort was similar to the year 2000 census for Wood County, WI, USA, with the majority (98%) reporting themselves to be White Caucasian. The majority of subjects (n = 13,391, 76.7%) indicated that they had German ancestry. Only 142 participants (< 1%) opted out on the consent form for contact for future studies. The majority of the cohort reported that their current area of residence was a suburb, city or village (n = 10630, 60.87%); the remainder reported residence in a rural home or hobby farm (n = 5365, 30.72%), or a working farm or ranch (n = 1451, 8.31%). More than half the cohort (n = 9409, 53.88%) had lived on a working farm at some point in their life. Conclusion: The PMRP database will allow research in three areas: genetic epidemiology, pharmacogenetics, and population genetics. The size and the stability of the population as well as the relative ethnic homogeneity will help facilitate longitudinal studies with valid research results that are not biased by population stratification.

April 2003 marked the 50th anniversary of the discovery of the molecular structure of DNA [1], as well as the completion of the Human Genome Project, the publicly funded international research effort to sequence the entire human genome [101]. With the completion of the Human Genome Project 2 years ahead of schedule, Dr Francis S Collins and his colleagues at the National Human Genome Research Institute, NIH, published their vision for the future of genomics research [2]. Their Grand Challenge II-1 is to 'develop robust strategies for identifying the genetic contributions to disease and drug response' [2] and they mention the Marshfield

Clinic Personalized Medicine Research Project (PMRP) as one of several population-based cohort studies that will be positioned to meet this goal.

It has been suggested that common gene variants are responsible for the majority of common diseases [3,4], and population-based study designs may be useful to help identify these common variants and their relative importance in the population. Some scientists have advocated for larger sample sizes to increase statistical power to detect disease genes [5] and some have argued for a larger number of SNPs, especially for people of African descent [6]. Recent reviews of the results



of genetic association studies have revealed very poor reliability and validity of published data, often due to study bias, insufficient sample size, and population stratification [7–9]. Researchers have advocated for larger sample sizes in ethnically homogeneous populations to increase the validity of genetic studies [10]. Replication of study results in other populations has also been stressed, necessitating large, well-characterized study cohorts that could be used for validation.

In addition to the ongoing debate about the most appropriate study design and number of SNPs to identify disease genes in populationbased association studies, there has been a continuing debate surrounding the ethical and informed consent issues involved with the creation of DNA banks [11–20], the acceptability of this type of research to the general public [20–22], and the concept of shared benefit with study participants [23].

The PMRP is a population-based cohort study with stored DNA, plasma and serum. It also has access to a comprehensive electronic medical record. The database will allow scientists to conduct research in the areas of genetic basis of disease, pharmacogenetics, and population genetics. Many of the ethical, legal, social and scientific issues encountered during this project have been resolved with the assistance of external advisory boards, and some issues will continue to evolve. The purpose of this paper is to describe the design and methods of the project, as well as the recruitment results from the first 2 years.

Methods

The PMRP was designed in three phases:

- study planning, consultation, and initial recruitment
- establishment of the infrastructure and construction of the PMRP database to allow it to be a national resource, and expanding the database
- genetic discovery projects and physician and community education.

The following sections describe the methods for the Phase I stage of the project.

Ethics and Security Advisory Board

The Ethics and Security Advisory Board (ESAB) met twice prior to the initial subject recruitment to discuss and provide advice on many issues, including:

- anonymity
- consent

- publication
- disclosure of results
- recontact of participants
- involvement of the public
- relationship of the ESAB to the Marshfield Clinic Institutional Review Board (IRB)
- distribution of benefits
- access to the database
- inclusion of minors

The outcome of many of these discussions is evident in the consent form (see Appendix I). The decision not to enroll minors was made for largely scientific reasons, because the ESAB felt that the data security that would be established made the project one of low risk to subjects and, therefore, not an ethical problem. Scientifically, a cohort study is not the most efficient study design for diseases in children because most genetic diseases of childhood are relatively rare.

The Marshfield Clinic received a Certificate of Confidentiality from the National Institutes of Health (NIH) protecting the PMRP research database from forced disclosure, even under court order. The IRB of Marshfield Clinic approved all forms and procedures for the PMRP.

Community consultation

Community consultation involved three major activities:

- focus group discussions prior to and during the enrollment period
- public education through media releases, community talks, a website, and video
- organization of a Community Advisory Group (CAG) prior to and during enrollment

Three series of focus group discussions were held during the planning phases of the project to determine levels of understanding as well as general attitudes and concerns about genetic research among community residents, including Marshfield Clinic employees. All focus group discussions were conducted and interpreted by an independent market research firm.

Separate IRB approval was obtained 6 months after initiating recruitment to invite people who said that they were 'not interested' in participating in the PMRP to attend focus group discussions to further explore their reasons for refusal. These focus group discussions were held in July and August 2003, 10 months after enrollment commenced, and were also conducted and analyzed by the same independent market research firm. Four focus groups were held; three from different communities after primary enrollment had been completed, and one with staff and spouses of Marshfield Clinic employees.

The website for the PMRP was developed and is accessible through the Marshfield Clinic Research Foundation website [102]. The site has information about the PMRP, including an overview of the program, detailed programmatic materials, links to informative websites, and updates on program progress, emerging discoveries, and planned studies. A full-color flyer was developed with the guidance of the CAG (see Appendix II). It highlights the key points of the project, has a photo and quote from one of the members of the CAG, and includes contact details. The flyer is displayed throughout the Marshfield Clinic and in local businesses. It was included in the daily Marshfield News Herald on a monthly basis during peak recruitment efforts and was added as an insert with the initial invitation letter to potential participants at the suggestion of the CAG. A 15-min video was developed and played throughout the Clinic and played for community group presentations.

Community Advisory Group

The CAG is comprised of 15 members who represent various demographics within the target population (age, gender, ethnicity, and geography). Representation was also specifically sought from the following segments of the community: economic (business, industry, agriculture, employers, and workers), education (teachers, administrators, and parents of students), health (public and private), faith-based, religious, media and public officials (elected and/or appointed, local and state level), previous Marshfield Clinic research participants, civic organizations, and community foundations. The group met twice prior to initial enrollment and was reconvened twice during the first 12 months of enrollment. CAG members were asked to provide advice and assistance in the following areas: reaction and options on the understanding of the PMRP by the community; how to interact with the community about the PMRP; identification of potential problems and recommendations for solutions: sensitivities. needs and desires of various ethnic groups; effectiveness of messages, promotional and other PMRP materials; suggestions on other groups in the community to talk to or involve; and how to develop a process for community benefit sharing as a result of the PMRP. The CAG also served as a community liaison in support of the PMRP.

Scientific Advisory Board

A Scientific Advisory Board (SAB) was assembled to provide guidance on scientific issues. The SAB includes members with expertise in computational biology, pharmacogenetics, statistical genetics, and molecular epidemiology. The group met twice prior to patient enrollment and reconvened 1 year after initiation of enrollment. Some of the issues discussed included genotyping strategies, haplotyping, development of cell lines versus whole genome amplification, suggestions for collaboration, suggestions for how to create a national user center, processes to access DNA samples and phenotypic data, and funding. SAB members also provided advice on proposed internal studies using the PMRP database. An internal scientific planning group, comprised of Marshfield Clinic clinicians and scientists from various disciplines, met monthly to discuss various scientific issues and research opportunities.

Recruitment and enrollment

Participant enrollment commenced on September 18, 2002. The PMRP was officially launched with an on-site press conference that featured Wisconsin Governor Scott McCallum. Initial recruitment was targeted to people aged 18 and older who currently reside in 1 of 19 zip codes around Marshfield, WI, USA, and for whom at least one member on their Marshfield Clinic account had received care at the Marshfield Clinic in the previous 3 years. The 19-zip code area selected is known as the Marshfield Epidemiologic Study Area (MESA) [24].

The Marshfield Clinic is an integrated regional healthcare system with 700 physicians in 41 locations throughout central and northern Wisconsin. All major medical specialties and subspecialties, except whole organ transplant, are covered within the Clinic system. Except for the city of Marshfield, MESA residents reside rurally or in small towns or villages. The annual in- and out-migration is very low, making it ideal for prospective studies. By sharing an electronic medical record with neighboring hospitals, inpatient diagnoses, out-patient diagnoses and procedures are captured for MESA residents. Many MESA residents are also members of the Marshfield-Clinic-sponsored health maintenance organization, the Security Health Plan, allowing capture of health events that may occur outside the Marshfield Clinic system of care.

Following the initial awareness activities that included community talks and media releases, an information/invitation letter was sent to all

eligible residents in the Clinic system. This letter introduced the program and included a brief one-page informational brochure to provide an overview of PMRP. The letter included a tollfree number for inquiries, and a website address for further information about the project. Residents were alerted to expect a follow-up telephone call from a Research Project Assistant within 2 weeks to describe the project in more detail and invite participation, and schedule an appointment time if interested. Within a week of the mailing, Research Project Assistants placed telephone calls to gauge the level of interest of each eligible household member and made appointments with individuals who indicated interest in enrollment. Seven call attempts were made to contact an individual. Messages were left after the first, third and sixth call. If not successful by the seventh call, the subject became ineligible for reason of non-contact. The baseline questionnaire (Appendix III) and informed consent documents (Appendix I) were mailed along with the appointment reminder. Interested participants reporting to the PMRP reception center were greeted by a Research Project Assistant who ascertained their level of knowledge and interest in enrollment. After review of the consent document, written informed consent was obtained. Subjects were asked to complete the questionnaire designed to collect familial and some environmental data (current occupation and industry, smoking, and alcohol intake), if not completed prior to their appointment. Questionnaires were reviewed by a Research Project Assistant to check for completeness and confirm accuracy of the information provided by the subject. The Research Project Assistant measured and recorded height to the nearest half inch and weight to the nearest half pound. A trained phlebotomist drew 50 ml of blood. The enrollment procedures required approximately 30 min. Participants received US\$20 to cover their travel expenses and time.

DNA extraction

The Gentra's AUTOPURE LS[®] system is used for the extraction of DNA from 30 ml of blood from each patient. The DNA extraction process is fully automated and is based on the procedure of Ciulla *et al.* [25]. Briefly, the buffy coats containing the white blood cells from three 10-ml samples of blood are mixed with 30 ml of red blood cell lysis solution in a 50-ml tube. The tube is then incubated at room temperature for 5 min, inverted several times and spun at 2000 rpm for 2 min. Following centrifugation, the supernatant is decanted and the white blood cell pellet is resuspended in 3.3 ml of protein precipitation solution. A total of 10 ml of cell lysis solution is added to the center of the sample, the tube is vortexed for 10 s and then centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 6 min. The supernatant is transferred into a clean 50-ml centrifuge tube containing 10 ml of 100% isopropanol, inverted several times and then centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 2 min. The supernatant is removed, the DNA pellet is washed in 70% ethanol, and the tube is centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 1 min. Ethanol is then carefully removed from the tube and the DNA is resuspended in 3 ml of DNA hydration solution for 72 h. Finally, the DNA is quantitated and stored permanently at -80°C. After isolation, the DNA samples are coded and a map of the samples in each freezer is maintained to allow easy retrieval for future genotyping. Plasma and serum samples are also stored for each study participant.

Data management

The questionnaires are scanned and the data are entered into a secure database for management. The scanned images of the questionnaires and consent forms are stored electronically for subsequent retrieval if necessary. Comparisons of demographic data were made with the 2000 US Census for Wood County, WI, USA [26]. SAS was used for analyses and a p < 0.01 was considered statistically significant due to the large sample size. Participants were defined as residents who signed informed consent documents and provided a blood sample. Non-participants were defined in two different ways for comparison with participants:

- residents who received letters and for whom we were able to make contact and they explicitly said 'no'
- all residents who received a letter of invitation (unless the letter was returned due to having moved with no forwarding address, and the individual was known to be alive)

Any known deaths were removed from the denominator.

Future studies

Traditional family-based linkage analyses will be possible with the PMRP cohort, as well as population-based association studies. The populationbased association studies will allow researchers to use traditional epidemiologic tools, such as logistic regression, to model the independent effects of environmental and genetics factors (as well as their interaction) on the development of disease and differential response to medications. Deidentified data sets will be created for analyses. All data are coded, rather than anonymized, to allow the incorporation of additional phenotypic, environmental and genetic data to the de-identified analysis database in the future.

Results

Focus group discussions

Four initial focus group discussions were held: two in the MESA central area (the zip codes surrounding Marshfield) and two in MESA north (a 10-zip code region in northern Wisconsin). Three of the groups were made up of eight adults; one had nine adults. There were 17 males and 16 females in the four groups, aged 18–78 years. Employees of the Marshfield Clinic were not eligible for these discussions. The majority of the participants (27 of 33) said that they would be interested in participating in the PMRP, but that their participation would be conditional upon trusting that confidentiality would be maintained regarding their DNA and medical records. Primary hypothetical reasons cited for not participating in the PMRP were:

- lack of interest
- lack of time to consider and participate in the project
- lack of personal benefit

The primary source of information about genetic research for the focus group participants was the mass media, especially television. They also suggested direct contact with potential PMRP participants to inform them about the project. All groups recommended that the enrollment visits be limited to a maximum of 30 min and that a monetary incentive (US\$25–50) be offered to offset travel costs and time away from work.

The second series of focus groups was used to gather information about how best to include Marshfield Clinic staff participation in the PMRP. Four focus groups comprised of employees who do and do not require medical training for their jobs, not including physicians and nurses, were conducted in October 2001. More females than males participated (36 versus 10). These staff focus group participants indicated that the three most important factors that would affect their decision to participate in the PMRP would be:

• their trust in the security and privacy policies of the Research Foundation

- the effectiveness of the communication about the program
- the convenience of the enrollment process

Two focus groups were held with Marshfield Clinic patients to review written documents (two letters of invitation, a brochure explaining PMRP, the consent form, 'Frequently Asked Questions' and the baseline questionnaire) for the PMRP. The two focus groups were made up of 9 males and 12 females aged 20-80 years. Agreement was nearly unanimous that the consent form be sent to people who had already agreed to participate. Although the majority of focus group participants said that they would be interested in participating after reading the materials, only half indicated that they would take action to sign up for the study (i.e., they would need personal contact to organize an appointment time). After reading the documents, answers to three questions remained unclear to many participants:

- the degree of confidentiality risk
- lack of clarity regarding the reasons that they would not get personal health information returned to them as a result of study participation
- details regarding process involved with participation

Written materials were revised in response to the focus group feedback.

The final series of four focus group discussions were held 10 months after commencing recruitment with residents who did not participate in the PMRP. The ages of the 44 participants ranged from 18 to 69 years and 21 (47.7%) were male. Approximately half of the participants indicated that the perceived time commitment to learn about and enroll in the project was the main reason that they chose not to participate. They perceived that there was little or no benefit for them in relation to the time it would require to participate, especially for residents living outside of Marshfield. A total of 30 of the 32 community members had little or no awareness of the PMRP and recommended further community awareness activities. Focus group participants also recommended that the written information be more concise. Suggestions made by the focus group participants for strategies to maximize enrollment included the following:

• rewrite the first paragraph of the introductory letter to simplify the language (subsequently done)



Table 1. Age- and gender-specific mean (median) number of unique diagnoses for calendar year 2003 in participants and non-participants of the PMRP.

Age	Gender		Participation status Mean diagnoses (median)	
		Participants	Eligible, but could not be reached	Outright refusals
18–64	Female	13.30 (11)	11.14 (9)	10.98 (9)
	Male	9.51 (7)	7.41 (5)	7.27 (5)
65–75	Female	19.92 (18)	16.89 (15)	16.76 (15)
	Male	17.90 (15)	16.15 (13)	15.76 (13)
≥ 76	Female	23.54 (21)	21.72 (19)	21.16 (18)
	Male	22.52 (19)	21.87 (18)	21.53 (17)

PMRP: Personalized Medicine Research Project.

- include only the 'Frequently Asked Questions' and flyer along with the introductory letter (subsequently done)
- increase awareness of the PMRP through media
- enroll at other sites (a site in a local town was organized on a weekend)
- develop a newsletter to send to all participants to encourage them to refer friends and relatives to the project
- consider a wind-up campaign creating a deadline for enrollment

Participation

Enrollment for the first 23 months of the PMRP is summarized in Figure 1. A total of 17,463 subjects were enrolled during that time period (44.3% of residents whom Research Project Assistants were able to contact). The participants' ages ranged from 18 to 98.5 years (mean = 48.9, median = 48); 57.2% (n = 9986)were female. There was a lower percentage of females in the non-participant groups (49.38% for the 22,233 people whom we were never able to contact, and 49.34% for the 21,995 residents who were contacted but refused to participate). The mean age in these two non-participant groups was the same as for the participants. A comparison of participants and non-participants revealed that participants had a higher mean number of unique diagnoses within the Marshfield Clinic system than residents who refused outright to participate or residents whom staff were unable to contact after a minimum of seven telephone calls (Table 1). At all ages, women in all three groups had a greater number of mean diagnoses than men. The reasons given for refusal to participate included:

- 71.33% not interested
- 16.44% inconvenience
- 5.66% other

- 2.43% blood draw
- 2.05% privacy
- 1.07% unspecified
- 0.98% oppose genetic test
- 0.04% religious

The age distribution of PMRP participants was similar to the Wood County Census for the year 2000 (Table 2). Self-reported race in the study cohort was also similar to the year 2000 Census for Wood County with the majority (98%) reporting themselves to be White Caucasian (Table 3). The majority of subjects (n = 13,391, 76.7%) indicated that they had German ancestry (Table 4). Only 142 participants (0.81%) opted out on the consent form for contact for future studies. Approximately 10% of study participants elected to donate the US\$20 reimbursement back to the PMRP or other medical research.

Despite the fact that enrollment was organized at Marshfield Clinic regional centers so that subjects would not have to travel great distances, participation was inversely correlated with the number of miles away from Marshfield (Figure 2, $R^2 = 0.3887$). Distance from Marshfield ranged from 0 to 46.4 miles and the participation rates ranged from 31 to 54% for the 19 individual communities.

The majority of the cohort reported that their current area of residence was a suburb, city or village (n = 10,630, 60.87%), while 30.72% (n = 5365) lived in a rural home or hobby farm and 8.31% (n = 1451) lived on a working farm or ranch. More than half the cohort (n = 9409, 53.88%) reported that they had lived on a working farm at some point during their life.

The majority of the cohort (n = 14,247, 81.58%) indicated that they were currently employed full-time for wages. The most common business in which subjects were employed

Table 2. Age distribution of PMRP participants and comparison with the 2000 Census estimates for Wood County, WI, USA.

Age category	PMRP participants	Year 2000 Census estimates
18–20	844 (4.83%)	2820 (5.02%)
21–24	1026 (5.88%)	3005 (5.35%)
25–34	2158 (12.36%)	9026 (16.07%)
35–44	3204 (18.35%)	12,425 (22.12%)
45–54	3550 (20.33%)	10,415 (18.54%)
55–59	1499 (8.58%)	3681 (6.55%)
60–64	1208 (6.92%)	3202 (5.70%)
65–74	2102 (12.04%)	5522 (9.83%)
75–84	1509 (8.64%)	4324 (7.70%)
≥ 85	363 (2.08%)	1750 (3.12%)
Total	14,803 (100%)	56,170 (100%)

PMRP: Personalized Medicine Research Project.

Table 3. Self-reported race of PMRP participants (n = 17,463) and comparison with year 2000 Census estimates for Wood County, WI, USA.

Race category	PMRP participants	Wood County, year 2000
White Caucasian	17,187 (98.4%)	72,625 (95.4%)
Hispanic/Latino/Spanish	175 (1.0%)	709 (0.9%)
American–Indian	137 (0.8%)	775 (1.0%)
Asian/Hmong	67 (0.4%)	1313 (1.7%)
Other	38 (0.2%)	347 (0.5%)
Black/African–American	29 (0.2%)	326 (0.4%)

PMRP: Personalized Medicine Research Project.

Table 4. Self-reported ancestry/ethnic origi	n of PMRP participants (n = 17,463).
Ancestry/ethnic origin	Number (percent)
German	13,391 (76.7%)
Irish	2888 (16.5%)
English	2807 (16.1%)
Other	2712 (15.5%)
Polish	2149 (12.3%)
Norwegian	2044 (11.7%)
French/French Canadian	1672 (9.6%)
Swedish	1136 (6.5%)
Dutch	1073 (6.1%)
Czech	651 (3.7%)

PMRP: Personalized Medicine Research Project.

in the previous 5 years was educational, health and social services (21.31%), followed by manufacturing (13.44%), and then agriculture, forestry, fishing, and hunting (11.3%) (Table 5).

Of the subjects, 18% (n = 3195) were current smokers, while 27.98% (n = 4887) were past smokers, and 53.58% (n = 9356) had never smoked.

Discussion

Researchers at the Marshfield Clinic have taken great care to design and undertake the PMRP, in hopes of developing a resource that will serve the larger research community and address the Grand Challenge issued by Dr Francis S Collins and his colleagues at the National Human



Table 5. Self-reported current business or industry for the past 5 years in the PMRP participants.

Business/industry	Number (percent)
Services: educational, health, and social	3786 (21.31%)
Manufacturing	2389 (13.44%)
Agricultural, forestry, fishing, and hunting	2008 (11.30%)
Retail trade	1713 (9.64%)
Services: professional, scientific, management, and administrative	1568 (8.82%)
Services: arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodations, and food	1391 (7.83%)
Construction	1260 (7.09%)
Services: other (except public administration)	1022 (5.75%)
Transportation and warehousing	886 (4.99%)
Finance, insurance, real estate, and rental and leasing	628 (3.53%)
Information and communication	421 (2.37%)
Wholesale trade	247 (1.39%)
Utilities	132 (0.74%)
Public administration	129 (0.73%)
Services: waste management	97 (0.55%)
Active military duty	67 (0.38%)
Mining	25 (0.14%)

Acknowledgments

Marshfield Clinic Research Team

Principal Investigators

Michael Caldwell MD, PhD; Catherine McCarty PhD

Co-Investigators

Khemissa Bejaoui PhD; James Burmester PhD; Robert Carlson MD; Donna Chapman-Stone; Carl Christensen; Nader Ghebranious PhD; Philip Giampietro MD, PhD; Robert Greenlee PhD; Daniel McCarty PhD; Peggy Peissig; Kurt Reed MD; Steve Wesbrook PhD; Russell Wilke MD, PhD

Research Project Assistants

Jennifer Adams; Angela Andrew; Carol Beck; Amy Brusky; Denise Collinson; Lisa Decker; Sarah Ecker; Michelle Fritsch; Claudia Hable; Terrie Kitchner; Deb Tauschek; Robin Yonker

Phlebotomists

Pam Larson; Mary Lund; Katie Taylor

DNA extractors

Andrew Hoenisch; Jamie Mallum; Andre Mitroshin

Planning/Operations Group

Deepti Babu; Carol Beck; Richard Berg; Donna Chapman-Stone; Teresa Derfus; Kathy Farnsworth; Nader Ghebranious PhD; Sharon Haessly-Ruggles; Debra Hansen; Steven Kaiser; Bob Kult; Dennis Lamb; Sally Lang; Catherine McCarty PhD; Daniel McCarty PhD; Sajid Merchant; Jeanette Normington; Gerald Ogdahl; Peggy Peissig; Sanjay Shukla PhD; Deborah Tauschek; Steve Wesbrook PhD; Christina Zaleski

Scientific Planning Team

Khemissa Bejaoui PhD; James Burmester PhD; Michael Caldwell MD, PhD; Bob Carlson MD; Carl Christensen; Deanna Cross PhD; Po-Huang Chyou PhD; Nader Ghebranious PhD; Phillip Giampietro MD, PhD; Ingrid Glurich PhD; Robert Greenlee PhD; Catherine McCarty PhD; Peggy Peissig; Kurt Reed MD; Steve Wesbrook PhD; Russell Wilke MD, PhD; Adong Yu PhD

Information Systems

Carl Christensen; Joel Finamore; Adam Hable; Tuhina Kolba; Cindy Motszko; Jerry Ogdahl; Peggy Peissig Ethics and Security Advisory Board

Jeffrey Botkin MD, University of Utah; Father J Thomas Finucan PhD, Viterbo University;

Norman Fost MD, University of Wisconsin; Michael Kaback MD, Children's Hospital of San Diego; Bishop April Larson, La Crosse Area Synod Evangelical Lutheran Church in America;

Geraldine McQuillan PhD, National Center for Health Statistics;

Jon Merz JD, PhD, University of Pennsylvania; Warner Slack MD, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center; Ellen Wright Clayton MD, JD, Vanderbilt University

Scientific Advisory Board

David Altshuler MD, PhD, Harvard Medical School; David Flockhart MD, PhD, Indiana University; Stephen Liggett MD, University of Cincinnati College; Gabor Marth DSc, Boston College; Jurg Ott PhD, Rockefeller University; Leena Peltonen MD, PhD, University of California, LA; Wendell Weber MD, PhD, University of Michigan

Community Advisory Group

Tom Berger; Sharon Bredl; Margaret Brubaker; Margy Frey; Jodie Gardner; Phil Hein; Norm Kommer; Darlene Krake; Julie Levelius; Jerry Minor; Mike Paul; Representative Marlin Schneider; Scott Schultz; Jean Schwanebeck; Mike Warren; Diana Wilcott

Funding

Marshfield Clinic, Health Resources Service Administration Office of Rural Health Policy grant number 1 D1A RH00025-01, Wisconsin Department of Commerce Technology Development Fund contract number TDF FY01-0718.

Genome Research Institute [2]. The robust enrollment (nearly 18,000 participants) and success to date reflect the guidance and oversight provided by community leaders as well as experts in the fields of bioethics, genetic epidemiology and pharmacogenetics. There are many advantages to the PMRP. First, the population of central Wisconsin is fairly stable, with a relatively low annual in- and out-migration. Along with written informed consent that allows continued access to electronic medical records and recontact where consent was given to allow the collection of additional phenotypic or environmental information, this stable population will help to facilitate longitudinal genetic and epidemiologic studies. Second, the relative ethnic homogeneity of the study population should help researchers to avoid population stratification in their analyses, which has been suggested as the major cause of low reproducibility of genetic association studies in the past [4]. To some researchers, the ethnic homogeneity might be considered a disadvantage due to the limited generalizability of study results. However, we feel that the ethnic homogeneity represents an advantage for analytical reasons. The size of the study population and slight bias toward increased participation by people with more medical diagnoses are also advantages for studying many diseases. Nonetheless, the current cohort size of approximately 18,000 adults may be insufficient for studying rare diseases. This problem can be overcome by recruiting people with certain diseases and selecting appropriate population-based controls from the PMRP cohort.

The response rate to the PMRP was relatively high considering that participants were asked to provide a blood sample and allow continued access to their medical records. However, as noted, there is some participation bias. As is commonly seen in population-based epidemiologic studies, males were significantly less likely to participate than females, as were residents with fewer medical diagnoses. Since we have information about who chose not to participate, it will be possible to weight the data statistically when we want to make estimates about population prevalence or incidence of disease. In comparison with the Wood County Census data, it appears that there will be no substantial bias in age or ethnicity in the PMRP cohort. As is commonly seen in epidemiologic studies, current smokers were less likely to participate in the PMRP (18.3% current smokers in PMRP versus 22% current smokers in Wisconsin in 2003 in the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System [26]). Given that the primary 'exposure' of interest in the case-control studies to be conducted with the PMRP database is genotype (or haplotype), it is improbable that we will have a systematic bias because adults are unlikely to have chosen to participate or not based on their genetic make-up. Another major advantage of the PMRP is the plan to make the resource available to scientists from other institutions. This should help to foster research collaborations and hopefully support validation of research results in other study populations in a timely manner.

In conclusion, the PMRP will allow researchers to study the genetic basis of disease and drug response in a way that can be generalized to adults of central and northern European descent. We advocate the planning and initiation of similar studies in other ethnic groups and are willing to share our experiences and methodologies so that results are comparable across study populations.

Bibliography

- Watson JD, Crick FHC: Molecular structure of nucleic acids. A structure for deoxyribose nucleic acid. *Nature* 171, 737–738 (1953).
- The seminal paper by Watson and Crick where they describe the double-helical structure of DNA. Any scientists in the diverse area of genomics research who have not read this short paper should make a point of reading it.
- Collins FS, Green ED, Guttmacher AE, Guyer MS, US National Human Genome Research Institute: A vision for the future of genomics research. A blueprint for the genomic era. *Nature* 422, 835–847 (2003).
- •• This paper, written by the Director of the NHGRI and colleagues, outlines their vision of the future of human genome research after the completion of the

Human Genome Project. It is likely to guide policy and funding decisions for years to come.

- Risch NJ: Searching for genetic determinants in the new millennium. *Nature* 405, 847–856 (2000).
- Lohmueller KE, Pearce CL, Pike M, Lander ES, Hirschhorn JN: Meta-analysis of genetic association studies supports a contribution of common variants to susceptibility to common disease. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 33, 177–181 (2003).
- One of many recent reviews of genetic association studies. Of note is the summary by the authors that the low replication rate for genetic association studies supports the hypothesis that common variants contribute to complex diseases and large study samples are needed to replicate study results.

- Long AD, Langley CH: The power of association studies to detect the contribution of candidate genetic loci to variation in complex traits. *Genome Res.* 9, 720–731 (1999).
- Carson CS, Eberle MA, Rieder MJ, Smith JD, Kruglyak L, Nickerson DA: Additional SNPs and linkage-disequilibrium analyses are necessary for whole-genome association studies in humans. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 33, 518–521 (2003).
- Ioannidis JPA, Ntzani EE, Trikalinos TA, Contopoulos-Ioannidis DG: Replication validity of genetic association studies. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 29, 306–309 (2001).
- Hirschhorn JN, Lohmueller K, Byrne E, Hirschhorn K: A comprehensive review of genetic association studies. *Genet. Med.* 4, 45–61 (2002).

- Hirschhorn JN, Altshuler D: Once again issues surrounding replication in genetic association studies. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 87, 4438–4441 (2002) (Editorial).
- Altmüller J, Palmer LJ, Fischer G, Scherb H, Wjst M: Genomewide scans of complex human diseases: true linkage is hard to find. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 69, 936–950 (2001).
- Beskow LM, Burke W, Merz JF *et al.*: Informed consent for population-based research involving genetics. *JAMA* 286, 2315–2321 (2001).
- Summarizes the recommendations of an expert panel convened by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to review informed consent for populationbased genetic research. Included in this article are templates for a written informed consent document and supplemental brochure for use by researchers.
- Deschênes M, Cardinal G, Knoppers BM, Glass KC: Human genetic research, DNA banking and consent: a question of 'form'? *Clin.Genet.* 59, 221–239 (2001).
- An opinion piece about the elements that should be included in an informed consent document for human genetic research. The project commenced with a review of existing written informed consent documents. A draft consent form for genetic research and DNA banking is included in the appendix.
- Licinio J, Wong M-L: Informed consent in pharmacogenomics. *Pharmacogenomics J.* 2, 343–344 (2002).
- Clayton EW: Ethical, legal, and social implications of genomic medicine. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 349, 562–569 (2003).
- One paper in an excellent series of articles published in the *New England Journal of*

Medicine under the banner 'Genomic Medicine'. It summarizes current issues related to genetic discrimination and the appropriate use of genetic information, including case examples.

- Anderlik MR: Commercial biobanks and genetic research. *Am. J. Pharmacogenomics* 3, 203–215 (2003).
- Austin MA, Harding S, McElroy C: Genebanks: a comparison of eight proposed international genetic databases. *Community Genet.* 6, 37–45 (2003).
- Austin MA, Harding SE, McElroy CE: Monitoring ethical, legal, and social issues in developing population genetic databases. *Genet. Med.* 5, 451–457 (2003).
- Winickoff DE, Winickoff RN: The charitable trust as a model for genomic biobanks. *N. Engl J. Med.* 349, 1180–1184 (2003).
- Commission de l'Éthique de la Science et de la Technologie: *The Ethical Issues of Genetic Databases: Towards Democratic and Responsible Regulation.* Government of Canada, Quebec, Canada (2003).
- Nuffield Council on Bioethics: *Pharmacogenetics. Ethical Issues.* The Dorset Press, Dorchester (2003).
- Wang SS, Fridinger F, Sheedy KM, Khoury MJ: Public attitudes regarding the donation and storage of blood specimens for genetic research. *Community Genet.* 4, 18–26 (2001).
- Reports the results of a survey of the attitudes of 2621 adults regarding donation and storage of blood specimens for genetic research. More than 20% of the respondents were unwilling to donate blood or have their blood stored for genetic research under any circumstances. These

results have implications for the ongoing debate about obtaining informed consent for research using stored tissue samples.

- McQuillan GM, Porter KS, Agelli M, Kington R: Consent for genetic research in a general population: the NHANES experience. *Genet. Med.* 5, 35–42 (2003).
- Knoppers BM: Population genetics and benefit sharing. *Community Genet.* 3, 212–214 (2000).
- DeStefano F, Eaker ED, Broste SK *et al.*: Epidemiologic research in an integrated regional medical care system: the Marshfield Epidemiologic Study Area. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* 49, 643–652 (1996).
- Ciulla Y, Sklar R, Hauser S: A simple method for DNA purification from peripheral blood. *Anal. Biochem* 174, 485–488 (1988).
- US Census Bureau: 2000 Census of Population and Housing, Summary Population and Housing Characteristics, PHC-1-51, Wisconsin. US Department of Commerce, Washington DC (2002).

Websites

- 101. www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/seq/ The NCBIs Human Reference Sequence site.
- 102. www.mfldclin.edu/pmrp/ MCRF – Personalized Medicine Research Center Project website.
- 103. http://apps.nccd.cdc.gov/brfss/display.asp? cat=TU&yr=2003&qkey=4394&state=WI The CDC's Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Prevalence Data site (accessed October 25, 2004).

Appendix I - Written informed consent document

Research Consent/Authorization Form Marshfield Clinic Research Foundation A Division of Marshfield Clinic 1000 N Oak Avenue, Marshfield, WI 54449 SP Code: CAL10102+ PI: Michael Caldwell, M.D.

Title: Personalized Medicine Research Project

What is informed consent?

Informed consent means you understand procedures, risks, possible benefits, and alternatives before you voluntarily agree to participate in a research project. You need to understand if or how the project may affect you and your family. This form and the explanation by project researchers will help you make an informed decision.

You are not giving up any legal rights by signing this consent form to take part in this project. You can withdraw consent at any time.

Please ask research staff about any information you do not understand.

Why have you been asked to take part in this research project?

You are invited to participate in this research project because you live in one of 24 zip code areas in northern and central Wisconsin selected for this project. You also receive your healthcare at Marshfield Clinic.

Why is this research project being done?

Many, if not most, common diseases have an inherited, or genetic, component. Recent advances have led researchers to believe that even subtle genetic differences between individuals often play an important role in the development of disease and response to treatment. For example, some patients experience severe side effects after taking a particular drug while others do not experience any side effects after taking the same drug.

The ultimate goal of this project is to learn how to apply genetic science to human health. The project will attempt to accomplish this in part by creating a Personalized Medicine Research Database. The database will then be used for multiple studies. Examples of the types of studies, which could use the database, include determining genes responsible for common diseases and determining genes that predict patient's responses to medicines, including adverse reactions. Additional studies could examine how environmental factors and genetic factors interact to cause disease or could determine the distribution and importance of genetic variations.

The project will collect three types of information about each volunteer project participant. These information types are: genetic, which will come from analysis of blood samples; medical, which will come from information contained in medical records; and environment, background, and family information, which will come from a questionnaire. By comparing the genetic information, medical information, and information from the questionnaire, we hope to develop new tests or treatments to improve patient care. All information in the database is guarded by a series of measures described below to assure confidentiality.

Date approved - May 12, 2003

Copyright of written informed consent document in Appendix I is retained by the Marshfield Clinic Research Foundation.

Consent Form - CAL10102 Page 2 of 8

The consent you are signing will give the researchers permission to collect this information, enter it into the database, perform the genetic analysis on your blood and to analyze information in the database.

How many subjects will be in this research project, and how long will it last?

The goal is for over 40,000 people to participate in this project. We anticipate the research project will last for at least 20 years to allow investigators to follow the health of a large population of a defined geographic region over time.

What are the possible benefits of the research project to you?

You should not expect to receive any direct benefit from this research project. New knowledge resulting from this project will be made available to the medical community through publications. No information on an individual participant will be released. A possible benefit you could experience would be if tests or therapies are incorporated into medical practice in the future and physicians make use of that new knowledge when caring for patients. And you may experience satisfaction from participating in research that may benefit medical science.

What will happen if you agree to take part in the Personalized Medicine Research Project?

You will be asked to donate a blood sample, provide some information through a questionnaire, and agree to the confidential transfer of information from your electronic medical record into a research database.

By agreeing to be in this project, you are agreeing to allow the study investigators and research staff at Marshfield Clinic and Marshfield Clinic Research Foundation to use this information for purposes of this project. Investigators and study staff may also make identifiable data from this project available to the Institutional Review Board or governmental regulatory agencies who may request information for purposes of reviewing the data for accuracy. We intend to use the data collected in this database indefinitely.

Study staff will draw 45 to 50 cc of your blood (approximately four tablespoons). Over the next few years for purposes of this research, researchers will analyze different components of your blood, including your DNA. DNA (deoxyribonucleic acid) is found in blood and is made up of chemicals, arranged in a specific order or sequence, that make up genes.

The questionnaire will ask you for information about yourself and your environment. Since diseases often run in families, it will also ask you to identify members of your immediate family. This family information will be coded in a way that allows researchers to establish family relationships among those people who have volunteered to be in the project.

A research coordinator will also measure your height and weight and enter this information on the questionnaire.

Research project staff will review your medical record. Selected clinical information from your medical record will be transferred into the research database. Research Date approved – May 12, 2003

Appendix I – Written informed consent document (continued)

Consent Form - CAL10102 Page 3 of 8

project staff may review medical record text notes to confirm the accuracy of the information entered into the database. During the entire study period research staff will continue to gather information from your medical record to enter into the research database. This will allow us to follow your health over time to better define associations among medical conditions, genetic factors, and your environment. Because we are trying to correlate genetic information to medical information, the research database will be updated from your medical record over the life of the study.

How will privacy and confidentiality of your genetic, medical, and other personal information be protected?

The results of your DNA analysis will not be entered into your medical record. In accordance with the laws of the State of Wisconsin, these results will not be released to employers or insurance companies. Your DNA sample will not be available for clinical diagnostic purposes. DNA analysis results will not even be shared with you or your family. Any records and research material that would identify you will be held confidential to the full extent allowed by federal and state law.

To help us protect your privacy, we have obtained a Certificate of Confidentiality from the National Institutes of Health. With this Certificate, the researchers cannot be forced to disclose information that may identify you, even by a court subpoena, in any federal, state, or local civil, criminal, administrative, legislative, or other proceedings. The researchers will use the Certificate to resist any demands for information that would identify you, except as explained below. The Certificate cannot be used to resist a demand for information from personnel of the United States Government that is used for auditing or evaluation of Federally funded projects or for information that must be disclosed in order to meet the requirements of the federal Food and Drug Administration (FDA). You should understand that a Certificate of Confidentiality does not prevent you or a member of your family from voluntarily releasing information about yourself or your involvement in this research.

All information entered into the research database will be coded to protect your privacy. For example, your DNA sample will be identified with a code and not personally identifiable information, such as your name, medical history number, or social security number. And to further enhance confidentiality, the genetic information from your blood sample will be placed in the research database through an encryption (coding) process. Information from your medical record and the questionnaire will not contain personally identifiable information when it is entered into the research database. This medical information will be encrypted using the same coding method as used on the genetic information. Also, project staff having access to identifiable medical record information will not have access to genetic information.

If you join this study, you may stop at any time. Discontinuing your participation involves no penalty or loss of benefits to which you are entitled. Study staff is required by law to obtain a written request from you to document the fact that you chose to withdraw. Research staff will discuss this with you and document your decision on a form, which you will be asked to sign. If you decide to withdraw your permission to continue in this project, the law allows us to continue to use information obtained prior to the time you withdrew your permission if that information is necessary to maintain the integrity of the research project. If you withdraw your permission, we will not collect any additional information about you unless you agree.

Appendix I - Written informed consent document (continued)

Consent Form - CAL10102 Page 4 of 8

There is a federal law called the HIPAA Privacy Rule that requires us to keep your medical information private and confidential to the greatest extent possible. In Wisconsin, state law also requires confidentiality of medical information. However, not everyone with whom we share your information may be required to follow this federal law, or they may reside in different states with different laws. Therefore, although the groups we work with are very professional and take confidentiality seriously, we cannot guarantee that the data we share with others will be protected by the same rules.

The Personalized Medicine Research Database will be kept on a separate computer system that will not be connected with other Clinic information systems or to any external network, such as the Internet. The computer will be housed in a highly secure location. Only a limited number of staff will have access to the research database. Only a few individuals will have access to the encryption codes, which will be kept in a separate and secure location. Use of the encryption codes to identify individuals in the database will only be done with approval of the Institutional Review Board, which is responsible for protecting human research subjects. Only with this approval can the researcher determine the identity of a project participant.

If you take part in the project, who may have access to your study information?

Marshfield Clinic researchers and research staff authorized to work on this project will have access to your records. They may send portions of your DNA or your genetic information, medical information, or questionnaire information contained in the database to outside researchers or institutions such as, other medical research facilities, or pharmaceutical companies for additional research studies. All such information will have identifying information removed and will be coded.

Federal governmental regulatory or health agencies, and the Marshfield Clinic Institutional Review Board, if required to audit this research project, may be able to see pertinent sections of research records that contain your name or other personally identifiable information. These organizations and people must keep the information private as required by law. Your name will not be given to anyone not associated with this project unless required by law.

The results of this project may be presented at scientific meetings or in scientific publications; however, your identity will not be disclosed.

What are the possible risks and discomforts of the project?

The risks of having blood taken from your vein may include bruising, minor pain, infection at the site where the blood was taken or fainting. There will be the usual discomfort of a needle stick.

As noted above, we have designed the project with multiple safeguards to protect your privacy and confidentiality. In addition we will not be releasing information about you to you or your physician, to decrease the risk of accidental release or harmful use of any information coming from this research. There is, nevertheless, a very small chance your personal information could accidentally become known to you, your doctor, or others. In this case information could potentially be used to discriminate against you socially, in insurance, employment or other areas. While Wisconsin laws prohibit

Appendix I – Written informed consent document (continued)

Consent Form - CAL10102 Page 5 of 8

discrimination based on genetic testing, they do not apply to all types of genetic information and are difficult to enforce.

If you accidentally become aware of information that you have an increased risk of developing a condition, it may have a harmful psychological effect on the way you think about your future. It could also affect your relationship with family members, especially if this changes their risk. If your genetic information reveals certain family circumstances (such as paternity or adoption) and this information becomes known to you or your family, it may also create psychological or social problems for you or your family. However, the risk of any of these events is remote.

It is possible that this project will show that individuals with certain physical characteristics may be at higher risk of developing certain conditions than others. If this happens and that information were released, you may be labeled or treated differently because of these differences including your racial or ethnic characteristics.

This consent form describes the known risks of research in which DNA samples are used. There may also be unknown risks of such research.

Alternatives to this project.

You do not have to be in this project to get medical care at any of the participating sites.

What are the costs for taking part in this project?

There is no cost to you to take part in this research project. Neither you nor your insurance company will be billed for study related procedures.

Will you receive any payment for taking part in the project?

You will receive twenty dollars (\$20) for participating in this study.

Will any commercial products be developed as a result of this project?

Research findings from this study may result in knowledge that allows for the development of products that may be of commercial value, on which Marshfield Clinic may for example hold patents. If this happens, there are no plans to provide financial or other types of compensation to you. Like other academic and not for profit institutions, such intellectual property would be owned by Marshfield Clinic and may be licensed for fees. These fees will only be used to pay research expenses, fund additional research and education, provide incentives to the discoverers or inventors at a level consistent with comparable academic and not for profit institutions, donate to healthcare related charities or community healthcare programs, or for other purposes consistent with Marshfield Clinic's not for profit mission. If any products are developed from this project that are of commercial value, a portion of the financial proceeds from such products will be donated to charities and Wisconsin communities to help pay for healthcare for the poor, public health programs, or other healthcare related programs.

Consent Form - CAL10102 Page 6 of 8

Emergency care and compensation for injury.

If you become ill or injured from this study, medical care is available at Marshfield Clinic, St. Joseph's Hospital or other medical facilities. You or your health insurer would be responsible for this cost. This facility has no plans to compensate you for such illness or injury, financially or otherwise.

Do you have to take part in this project?

You do not have to take part in this research project. If you choose not to participate, your relationship with your doctors or this facility will not change. You will not lose benefits to which you would otherwise be entitled to. The decision will not affect your future medical care.

Will your relatives be contacted?

Your relatives may be contacted independently to participate in this project if they are in the population already defined for the study. They will not be contacted because of information you have provided.

Will the results of this project be shared with you?

No information resulting from the analysis of your DNA or about your genetic status, such as the probability of developing a specific disease, will be provided to you. Research results are often preliminary, inconclusive, and not necessarily valid for decisions concerning patient care and treatment. Preliminary information, if given to you, could create false conclusions and significant risks.

Researchers will periodically send a newsletter to all project subjects. The newsletter will not contain any individual results, but will contain general information about studies. We will ask you to inform the project staff of any changes to your mailing address so that you continue to receive the newsletter.

Initial here if you do NOT want to receive copies of the newsletter.

Will you ever be re-contacted for additional information?

This project will last many years. Since we will be comparing genetic information to medical and questionnaire information, you should expect to be re-contacted occasionally to update the questionnaire information. This will occur at different times for different people and would not imply that anything has been learned about you specifically.

Researchers may want to re-contact you for an additional blood sample. Your DNA will be saved for as long as possible, hopefully for many years. Over time, the DNA may be entirely used or deteriorate through storage. Also, new and more accurate methods of genetic analysis may become available, requiring different processing of blood samples or other genetic material in the future. You may be asked to sign a new consent at that time.

This database will be used for many studies. New information or knowledge will cause new research questions to be asked, and new and different studies designed to answer Date approved – May 12, 2003 Appendix I – Written informed consent document (continued)

Consent Form - CAL10102 Page 7 of 8

them. If any such study has a different design than this project, and would create risks not considered in this consent, the Institutional Review Board would require the researchers to inform you of these risks and ask you to sign a separate consent for this separate study. You would be under no obligation to participate.

Initial here if you do NOT want researchers to re-contact you regarding future research studies.

Can you withdraw from this project and what will happen to your DNA sample and medical information if you withdraw?

By federal law you have the right to withdraw at any time and leave this project. There are two ways to withdraw from this project. One is to ask researchers to destroy any of your remaining DNA. The other is to ask that your DNA be destroyed and that all of your information already entered in the research database be removed.

You may withdraw your consent for research staff to re-contact you in the future at any time.

If you decide to withdraw from the project entirely, or withdraw your consent to be re-contacted, you will need to provide researchers a written statement that you no longer wish to participate and which method of withdrawal, you wish to use.

Who can you call if you want more information about this project?

For more information about this research project or to report injuries or side effects, you may contact the research coordinators or investigators of the Personalized Medicine Research Project, Marshfield Clinic Research Foundation, at 715-387-9433.

If you have any questions about your rights as a research subject, you may contact Marshfield Clinic Research Foundation's Institutional Review Board (IRB) at 1-800-782-8581 ext. 9-3022.

What does signing this consent form mean?

A signature indicates that:

- You have read the above.
- You have freely decided to take part in the research study described above.
- The study's general purposes, details of involvement and possible risks and discomforts have been explained to you.

You will receive a signed copy of this consent form.

Signature of Participant (If able to give informed consent.) Date

Printed Name of Participant

.....OR.....

Appendix I - Written informed consent document (continued)

Consent Form - CAL10102 Page 8 of 8	
Signature of Health Care Agent as Designated by Power of Attorney for Health Care OR Court-Appointed Guardian (Circle appropriate title	Date
Reason participant was unable to give informed conser	nt:
Printed Name of the Above Signature	AND
Signature of Presenter (Investigator or Designee)	Date
Printed Name of Presenter	

H:\RADMIN\Consent Forms\A-H\CAL10102 - Hmong Short Form.DOC H:\RADMIN\Consent Forms\A-H\CAL10102 - Spanish Short Form.DOC

Appendix II – Flyer developed in consultation with the Community Advisory Group to promote the Personalized Medicine Research Project



Copyright of the PMRP flyer in Appendix II is retained by the Marshfield Clinic Research Foundation.

opprovide the information of the information requested.	my diseases are thought to result from a combination of both environmental and genetic factors. We are asking you to vide information about your environment, race and ancestry, and major diseases and adverse reaction to medications it may be common in your family. The environmental information will help researchers better understand health risks al may assist in developing preventive programs. Race and ancestry information will help researchers identify genetic k factors that are specific to individual populations. Family information is crucial because close biological relatives are similar genetic information, which explains why some diseases and serious reactions to medications may run in the family. Researchers study health and disease in families to understand these genetic influences better.	is questionnaire will be treated as a confidential medical record and stored securely. The information concerning you I your family will be stripped of your name and other identifiers and will be entered into a secure, coded research abase at Marshfield Medical Research Foundation. Access to this database will be limited to qualified Marshfield nic researchers approved by the Marshfield Clinic Institutional Review Board. Your responses are voluntary. You are required to answer questions that make you feel uncomfortable, but all answered questions will help out in our earch.	© 2002 Marshfield Medical Research Foundation 1000 North Oak Avenue, Marshfield, WI 5449 Attention: Personalized Medicine Research Project 715-389-7733 or 888-334-2322 www.mfldclin.edu/pmrp	
---	---	--	---	--

Appendix III - Personalized Medicine Research Project baseline questionnaire

1. What is your race?	2. How would you describe your a	ncestry or ethnic origin?
(Mark one or more to indicate what you consider yourself to be.)	(You may list more than one, if	applicable).
O White Caucasian	O Czech	O Irish
O Black, African American, or Negro	O Dutch	O Norwegian
O American Indian	O English	O Polish
O Asian/Hmong	O French/French Canadian	Swedish
O Hispanic/Latino/Spanish	O German	O Other Please Print
Please Print	3. Where do you currently live mosi	t of the year?
	O On a working farm or ran	ch
	O In a rural home or hobby	farm, not a working farm or ranch
	O In a suburb, city, or village	0
	O Other	
	Please Print	
	 Have you ever lived on a working 	g farm?
Consequences (100	O Yes	
	0 No	
Ethnicity Code		Survey Code Office Use Only

Appendix III – Personalized Medicine Research Project baseline questionnaire (continued)

4058422410		k of any or liquor?	id you drink	oholic nk, on the	oe Use Only
Page 2 of 9	The following three questions concern alcohol use:	 a. During the past month, have you had at least one drin alcoholic beverage, such as beer, wine, wine coolers, O Yes O No (Go to next section and Question 7) 	 During the past month, on how many days per week d any alcoholic beverages, on the average? 0 < 1 0 1-2 0 3-4 0 5-7 	 On the days during the past month when you drank alc beverages, about how many drinks per day did you dri average? 0 1 0 2 0 3-4 0 5 or more 	Survey Code Offi
	5. The following three questions concern smoking of cigarettes:	a. Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your entire life? O Yes O No (Go to Question 6)	 b. Do you now smoke cigarettes every day, some days or not at all? b Every day O Some days O Not at all (Go to Question 6) 	 c. On days that you smoke, about how many cigarettes a day do you smoke, on the average? For example, if you smoke 10 cigarettes per day fill in the following circles: 0 1 0 0 000 1 0000 2 0000 3 0000 5 0000 5 0000 6 0000 7 0000 9 000 9 000 	

tepsisters, parents by ad	loption, ste	pchildrer	, adopted children, grandpare	ents, aunts, uncles, or cousir	IS.	>	
Ve do NOT want you to i vou do not want to provi	dentify the ide this info	individua ormation,	ls who have these conditions- you can leave this section bla	we just want to find out if al ank.	ny of the di	iseases n	ın in your family.
Condition Diagnosed	in 2 or mo	re immec	liate blood relatives (including	} yourself)?			
. Alzheimer's disease	O Yes	°N O	O Don't Know	p. Emphysema	O Yes	°N O	O Don't Know
Arthritis	O Yes	on NO	O Don't Know	q. Epilepsy	O Yes	°N O	O Don't Know
Asthma	O Yes	°N O	O Don't Know	r. Glaucoma	O Yes	0 No	O Don't Know
Blindness	O Yes	0 N O	O Don't Know	s. Heart attack or angina	O Yes	0 No	O Don't Know
Breast cancer	O Yes	о И О	O Don't Know	t. Congestive heart failure	O Yes	°N O	O Don't Know
Cervical cancer	O Yes	°N O	O Don't Know	u. High blood pressure	O Yes	°N O	O Don't Know
Colon cancer	O Yes	°N O	O Don't Know	v. Kidney disease	O Yes	o N O	O Don't Know
Lung cancer	O Yes	°N O	O Don't Know	w. Liver disease	O Yes	°N O	O Don't Know
Melanoma of the skin	O Yes	°N O	O Don't Know	x. Lupus	O Yes	°N O	O Don't Know
Oral cancer	O Yes	°N O	O Don't Know	y. Mental disorders	O Yes	°N O	O Don't Know
Prostate cancer	O Yes	°N O	O Don't Know	z. Multiple sclerosis	O Yes	°N O	O Don't Know
Other type of cancer	O Yes	oNO	O Don't Know	aa. Stroke	O Yes	oN O	O Don't Know
. Chronic bronchitis	O Yes	°N O	O Don't Know	bb. Thyroid disorders	O Yes	oN O	O Don't Know
Crohn's disease	O Yes	°N O	O Don't Know				
Diabetes	O Yes	0 No	O Don't Know				

Page 4 of 9 1106422411 medications.	10. Were you hospitalized for this reaction? O Yes O No	 11. Did a Marshfield Clinic doctor provide medical care for this reaction? O Yes (Go to Question 13) O No (Go to Question 12) 	 12. What was the name of the medication that caused the reaction? 12. What was the name of the medication that caused the reaction? 13. Have any of your immediate blood relatives (parents, brothers, sisters, and children) ever had a serious reaction to the SAME medicine that caused your reaction? 13. Have any of your immediate blood relatives (parents, brothers, sisters, or or	Survey Code Office Use Only
Sometimes a person's genetic make-up can affect the response to	8. In the past 10 years, have you ever had a serious reaction to a doctor-prescribed medicine or over-the-counter medication that was received either by mouth or by injection? This includes problems that required a doctor visit or admission to a hospital. It does NOT include problems that were managed at home.	O Yes O No (Go to Question 14) O Don't Know (Go to Question 14)	9. If yes, what type of reaction? O Rash O Swelling O Breathing problems O Other Please Print	

Appendix III – Personalized Medicine Research Project baseline questionnaire (continued)

nat is the nature of the businesses or industries r each business or industry. Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, and Hunting Mining Utilities Construction
Wholesale Trade Retail Trade Transportation and Warehousing Information and Communications Finance, Insurance, Real Estate, and Rental ar Services: Professional, Scientific, Management Services: Professional, Scientific, Management Services: Arts, Entertainment, Recreation, Acc Services: Other (except Public Administration)
Public Administration Active Duty Military

Appendix III – Personalized Medicine Research Project baseline questionnaire (continued)

2342422414	Page 6 of 9
What has your occupation been during the past 5 years? (Wh select the one category that fits best for each job.	at kind of work have you done for your employer(s)?) Please
O Management	(e.g., Executive, Sales Manager, Educational Administrator)
O Business Operations Specialists	(e.g., Buyer, Claims Adjuster, Human Resource Specialist)
O Financial Specialists	(e.g., Accountant, Insurance Underwriter, Real Estate Appraiser)
O Computer and Mathematical Occupations	(e.g., Computer Support, Systems Analyst, Actuary)
O Architecture and Engineering	(e.g., Architect, Drafter, Chemical Engineer, Surveyor)
O Life, Physical, and Social Science Occupations	(e.g., Medical Scientist, Chemical Technician, Urban Planner, Psychologist)
O Community and Social Service	(e.g., Counselor, Religious Worker, Social Worker)
O Legal Occupations	(e.g., Lawyer, Magistrate, Legal Assistant or Support)
O Education, Training, and Library Occupations	(e.g., Teacher, Teacher Assistant, Archivist, Librarian)
O Arts, Design, Entertainment, Sports, and Media Occupations	(e.g., Choreographer, Umpire, Editor, Camera Operator)
O Healthcare Practitioners and Technical Occupations	(e.g., Physician Assistant, Clinical Laboratory Technologist, Paramedic)
O Healthcare Support	(e.g., Medical Assistant, Home Health Aide, Massage Therapist)
O Protective Services	(e.g., Police Officer, Crossing Guard, Fire Inspector)
O Food Preparation and Serving	(e.g., Cook, Waiter, Dishwasher, Restaurant Hostess)
O Building and Grounds Clearing and Maintenance	(e.g., Janitor, Landscape Worker, Pest Control)
O Personal Care and Service	(e.g., Fitness Worker, Child Care Worker, Tour Guide, Funeral Service Worker)
O Sales	(e.g., Cashier, Travel Agent, Model, Real Estate Broker, News Vendor)
O Office and Administrative Support	(e.g., Receptionist, Billing Clerk, Bank Teller, Customer Service Representative)
O Farming, Fishing, and Forestry	(e.g., Agricultural Inspector, Logging Worker, Fisher, Farmer/Farm Worker)
O Construction Trades	(e.g., Carpenter, Painter, Pipefitter, Roofer, Highway Maintenance)
O Extraction Workers	(e.g., Earth Driller, Explosives Worker, Miner)
O Installation, Maintenance, and Repair	(e.g., PC Repair, Mechanic, Heating/Air Cond. Repair, Telecomm Line Installer)
O Production Occupations	(e.g., Assembler, Baker, Machine Operator, Cabinet Maker)
O Transportation and Material Moving	(e.g., Taxi Driver, Service Station Attendant, Industrial Truck/Tractor Operator)
O Military Specific Occupations	
	Survey Crole Office Lice Only
1	

Appendix III – Personalized Medicine Research Project baseline questionnaire (continued)

54405 Abbotsford 54441 Hewit 54410 Arpin, also Bethel 5449 Marsh 54412 Auburndale 54454 Millad 54415 Blenker 54466 Pittsvi		nd Lindeov	
54420 Chili 54479 Spenc 54421 Colby 54484 Stratt 54425 Dorchester 54488 Unity	smeld, also bakerville at idore, also Sherry wille, also City Point and ncer, also Riplinger tford, also Rozellville y	Dexterville	
sse complete the following table for any of your parents, brothers, sisters, and Write down the current or married name of your relative. Do not include the n	d children who live in the names of your spouse, i	above zip cod adopted relativ	es and are at least 18 yea res, or step relatives.
ast Name First Name MI DOB	JB (mm/dd/yyyy) Zip	o Code	Research Coordinator Use
	···· / ····]	

Appendix III – Personalized Medicine Research Project baseline questionnaire (continued)

	Last Name	First Name	ž	DOB (mm/dd/yyyy)	Zip Code	Research Coordinator Use	
				1 1			
			ĵ		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	AN AR AN AN ANTANNA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA ANA A	
	and the second second second and the second second second	the state of the the the the the the the the	ĵ		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	the second second second second second second second	_
	a go at at a strate to the to the the tage of	an appropriate the first the first the second	1				_
		Contraction of the state of the second	Ĵ		11 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	the second	
	a h a h han a h a a h a a	1. The second second second second]		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
	the state of the second second second	and a later of the later of the later of	1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
		and the second of the second second second	j		10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	and the second se	
	the set set and set and the test of the test of the		Ĭ		14 16 14 14 14 14		-
	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	201 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0]	······································	1 0 1 0 0 0	The second se	_
			l	······································			_
	And the second sec		Ì		A 1 1 1 1		_
		8 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 1]		C 1 1 1 1 1 1		
	the standard and the standard and the	and a state of a state of a state	j	······································	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
	a to at particular of the Name of the second second	Number of the or of the or of the or of the]				
		the last of the second second second]	and and and and			
			į				



(continued)

Office Use Only

Survey Code



Appendix III – Personalized Medicine Research Project baseline questionnaire (continued)